Throughput-Delay Trade-off in Energy Constrained Wireless Networks

Abbas El Gamal, James Mammen, Balaji Prabhakar, and Devavrat Shah

Departments of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

{abbas, jmammen, balaji, devavrat}@stanford.edu

The random network model assumed in this paper is a generalization of the model in [1] that incorporates transmission energy consumption. We assume a random network of n nodes distributed uniformly at random on a unit torus with each node having a randomly chosen node as its destination. We assume the *relaxed protocol model* where a transmission from node i to node j is successful if, for any other node k that is transmitting simultaneously,

$$d(k, j) \geq (1 + \Delta)d(i, j) \text{ for } \Delta > 0,$$

where $d(i, j)$ is the distance between nodes i and j . Time is slotted for transmission and the duration of the time slots do not scale with n . Each node has an average transmission power constraint P when it transmits. We assume that the signal from a source attenuates with distance r as $1/r^{\alpha/2}$, for some $\alpha \geq 2$ so that when a node transmits at power P the received power at a distance r is $Pr^{-\alpha}$. Further assuming that the channel between any transmitter-receiver pair is discrete-time AWGN with noise power N and average signal power P , the transmission rate is given by

$$R(P, r) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{Pr^{-\alpha}}{N} \right).$$

Definition of throughput: A throughput $\lambda > 0$ is said to be feasible/achievable if every node can send at a rate of λ bits per second to its chosen destination. We denote by $T(n)$, the maximum feasible throughput with high probability (*whp*). In this paper, $T(n)$ will be the maximum throughput with delay and/or energy-per-bit scaling constraints.

Definition of delay: The delay of a packet in a network is the time it takes the packet to reach the destination after it leaves the source. The average packet delay for a network with n nodes, $D(n)$, is obtained by averaging over all packets, all source-destination pairs, and all random network configurations.

Definition of energy-per-bit: The energy-per-bit for a network with n nodes, $\mathcal{E}(n)$, is the average energy-per-bit required to communicate between an S-D pair, averaged over all n S-D pairs, and all random network configurations.

In this model, the throughput, delay and energy-per-bit for a communication scheme are related through the scheme's average transmission range, i.e., average hop distance.

Lemma 1. *In a fixed random network, for any communication scheme with average transmission range $r(n)$,*

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = \Omega(r(n)^{\alpha-1}).$$

The above lemma can be used to establish a minimum delay scaling for a given energy-per-bit scaling constraint. Further, using a trade-off scheme similar to Scheme 1 in [1], we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1. *The optimal trade-off between energy-per-bit and delay scaling is given by $\mathcal{E}(n) = \Theta(D(n)^{1-\alpha})$. Further, the optimal throughput-delay scaling trade-off at this minimum energy-per-bit scaling is*

$$T(n) = \Theta(D(n)/n) \text{ for } T(n) = O\left(1/\sqrt{n \log n}\right).$$

It turns out that if there is no constraint on energy the optimal throughput-delay scaling is $T(n) = \Theta(D(n) \log D(n)/n)$, which is only marginally better than that with the minimum energy-per-bit scaling constraint. Worse still, the energy-per-bit must scale up very fast as $\Theta(D(n)/\log D(n))$ to achieve this marginally higher throughput. Moreover the throughput-delay trade-off with minimum energy-per-bit scaling is equivalent to the throughput-energy-per-bit trade-off with minimum delay scaling.

For mobile networks, we consider the same model as above with the additional feature that each node moves with velocity $v(n)$ according to an independent Brownian motion. For mobile networks the trade-off extends beyond that of fixed networks allowing higher throughputs with lower energy-per-bit by using the mobility of the nodes at the cost of higher delay.

Figure 1: Optimal throughput-delay-energy trade-off in random wireless networks assuming $\alpha = 2$ and $v(n) = \Theta(1/\sqrt{n})$. The scales of the axes are in terms of orders in n .

Figure 1 summarizes our results for the case of $\alpha = 2$ and $v(n) = \Theta(1/\sqrt{n})$. For fixed networks, segment SQ gives the optimal energy-per-bit-delay tradeoff and segment PQ gives the optimal throughput-delay tradeoff at the minimum energy-per-bit scaling. Mobility provides additional trade-off ranges represented by segments QT and QR.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. El Gamal, J. Mammen, B. Prabhakar, and D. Shah, "Throughput-Delay Trade-off in Wireless Networks", *IEEE INFOCOM*, 2004.